1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	ANDDEW CTDICK	
8	ANDREW STRICK, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C14-5625 RJB-KLS
9	v.	ORDER TO FILE A NEW
10	LENTZ, et al.,	APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND AN
11	Defendants.	AMENDED COMPLAINT
12		
13	This matter has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom	
14	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules MJR 3 and 4, and Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of	
15	Civil Procedure. The case is before the undersigned for initial screening and for consideration of	
16	plaintiff's application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> .	
17	Plaintiff's motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> , his declaration in support of the motion,	
18	and the proposed complaint are all written for the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Dkt. 1, and 1-	
19	1. Plaintiff filed this action in the United States District Court for the Western District of	
20	Washington at Tacoma. Further, plaintiff states in his declaration that the caption of this action	
21 22	is <i>Strick v. Brivig.</i> Dkt. 1, p. 3. The caption of the action is <i>Strick v. Lentz.</i> Dkt. 1-1 (proposed	
23	complaint). In addition plaintiff's cover sheet indicates that he is trying to reinstate or reopen an	
23 24	action and that this is not an original filing. Dkt. 1	-2. Plaintiff lists a related action as 11-5110.
- ·	I	

Dkt. 1-2. That action was dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff's failure to prosecute. See, Strick v. Pitts et al., C11-5110 RBL Dkt. 46 and 47. 2 In the event that plaintiff is attempting to reinstate or reopen a case he needs to file the 3 proper motion using the former cause number. See, Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). 5 After reviewing the file, the Court finds and ORDERS as follows: The original filing contains too many errors or inconsistencies to allow 6 (1) the undersigned to accept the documents and move this action forward. 7 (2) Plaintiff is ordered to file a new in forma pauperis application using the Court's form for a non-prisoner. Plaintiff will also need to file an 8 amended complaint with the proper court listed on the title page. 9 Plaintiff will have until **September 5th**, **2014**, to comply with this order. (3) Failure to correct the defects in the original filing will result in a Report 10 and Recommendation that in forma pauperis status be denied and this 11 action dismissed with prejudice for failure to comply with a court order and failure to prosecute his action. 12 (4) The Clerk's Office is directed to send Plaintiff the proper form for a nonprisoner applying for in forma pauperis status. 13 14 Dated this 11 day of August, 2014. 15 16 Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24